

GRAND JURORS TALK.

Mr. Rowe Tells How They Were Interfered With.

Apparently Deliberate Attempts to Prevent Their Inquiry.

Should Not the District Attorney's Office Be Investigated?

Edward V. Rowe, one of the Brooklyn Grand Jurors, who wanted to bring in an indictment against Mayor Boody and thirteen members of the Board of Aldermen, and would have done so but for Mr. Ridgway's interference of the law, says they had no power to do so, throw considerable light upon the manner in which the deliberations of that body were conducted.

While carefully preserving his oath, taken as a Grand Jury, he gave an "Evening World" reporter some facts this morning which will be read with interest.

The statement, coming from such a source, will demand a speedy reply from the District Attorney, otherwise the question will be asked, Why should not the District Attorney's office itself be investigated?

Mr. Ridgway was elected to serve the people of Kings County, to run down the Grand Jurors, and particularly the Grand Jurors who protested against it.

Now Rowe tells for the first time why such a contest should have been waged. He says that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Rowe Tells How They Were Interfered With.

Apparently Deliberate Attempts to Prevent Their Inquiry.

Should Not the District Attorney's Office Be Investigated?

Edward V. Rowe, one of the Brooklyn Grand Jurors, who wanted to bring in an indictment against Mayor Boody and thirteen members of the Board of Aldermen, and would have done so but for Mr. Ridgway's interference of the law, says they had no power to do so, throw considerable light upon the manner in which the deliberations of that body were conducted.

While carefully preserving his oath, taken as a Grand Jury, he gave an "Evening World" reporter some facts this morning which will be read with interest.

The statement, coming from such a source, will demand a speedy reply from the District Attorney, otherwise the question will be asked, Why should not the District Attorney's office itself be investigated?

Mr. Ridgway was elected to serve the people of Kings County, to run down the Grand Jurors, and particularly the Grand Jurors who protested against it.

Now Rowe tells for the first time why such a contest should have been waged. He says that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

Mr. Ridgway's representative on the occasion, and Mr. Rowe practically said that the Grand Jury was not a political ring, its significance was at once apparent.

DID A KNOCKER-OUT KILL HIM?

Carl Emanuel's Death Was Due to Opium Poisoning.

Police Suspect Foul Play - His Friends Say Suicide.

Carl Emanuel, fifty years old, of 718 Tenth Avenue, died at 10:30 this morning in Chambers Street Hospital, whether he had been poisoned earlier from his hotel, 100 Park Row, in a state of coma due to opium poisoning.

Emanuel had been stopping at the hotel about two weeks. It was said there that he had been given a "knocker-out" drink somewhere.

Emanuel was the spendthrift son of Herman Emanuel, a wealthy clothing dealer of Cologne, Germany. About twenty years ago he was married to a daughter of a wealthy family, with whom he lived until after the birth of their two children, when she procured a divorce.

Ten years ago he came to this country and became acquainted with Albert Rich, of 710 Tenth Avenue. He remained here for a short time and then went home to Germany, where his father established him in the clothing business, giving him a sum of \$10,000.

Emanuel very soon went through his property, and he was left with a sum of \$1,500. He went directly to Chicago and squandered it there.

He then came to New York, and on his promise to pay Druggist Rich his board when he would return to Germany he was taken into the family. He remained there until Aug. 21, since then they have not heard from him.

John Hartfield, a clerk in Rich's store, when informed of an "Evening World" reporter that Emanuel had been taken into the family, said that he was satisfied that he had meant to kill himself. The clerk said that Emanuel had been in the store for some time, and he had seen him in a state of distress.

It was also said that brother William Hartfield, of 40 Exchange Place, is a cousin of Emanuel.

Mrs. Philip Hartfield, the aunt of Emanuel, was seen at her sister's, 24 Bowery. She is a customer of Mr. Rich's store, and she was seen with him.

Mr. Hartfield informed an "Evening World" reporter that her nephew was at her place two weeks ago. He had just been discharged from the hospital, and he had been in a state of distress.

He was very dependent, said Mrs. Hartfield, "and without money, too. He was once in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress."

Although Mrs. Hartfield did not say as much, she intimated that it would not be strange if Emanuel had committed suicide. It is said that a physician, by the name of Klein, who works at the Park Row hotel where Emanuel was found, has been kind to him, and procured a room for him last night.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

It is said that Emanuel had been in a state of distress, and he was in a state of distress.

SAYS THERE INCOMPETENT.

Mr. Booker's Reasons for Discharging National Guardsmen.

Attempt to Burn the American Express Superintendent in Effigy.

National Guardsmen in town are very much stirred up over the story which comes from the State Camp at Peekskill, that three members of Company C of the Seventy-first Regiment, now in camp, have been discharged from their places in the American Express Company on account of their devotion to military duty.

The members of that regiment are particularly incensed against the Express Company official who discharged the three men, and last night they attempted to burn him in effigy.

The offending official is B. W. Booker, Superintendent of Traffic of the American Express Company, and the three men to whom his discharge was given are Corporal J. G. Gearhardt, and Privates John Howitt and John R. Benedict.

They say the trouble is one of long standing, and that Superintendent Booker has repeatedly objected to their being in the service of the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

They say that Booker has given them a very hard time, and that they have been discharged from their places in the company.

THE THEATRES NEXT WEEK.

"Panjandrum" Holds Up Its End Despite Hot Weather.

Clara Beckwith the Star of Tony Pastor's Programme.

"Panjandrum" will enter upon the eighth week of its engagement at the Broadway Theatre Monday night, and though all the theatres are dropping from the race with heat, Mr. Hopper and his management have decided to continue their "oils podria" during the hot summer.

Miss Clara Beckwith, the world's eighth wonder, who can live, eat, walk, play, walk, read and act under the water, will appear at Tony Pastor's Theatre next week. Her appearance will be decidedly reasonable, and the audience will probably be inclined to envy her the luxury of a constant cold water bath.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

She will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter, and will be seen in a glass tank, four feet in diameter.

SPORTING NEWS AND NOTES.

Suggested that Griffen May Get Rattled and Lost to Smith.

L. B. Thompson Won the Bird-Shooting Match at Hollywood.

Those of the sporting fraternity who are especially interested in pugilists and pugilism are awaiting with more or less impatience the outcome of three important events—the fight to a finish on Monday night at the Columbus Athletic Club between Johnny Griffen and Sol Smith for a \$10,000 purse, the challenge that Jack McAuliffe laid at the Olympic London Sporting Life contest to meet Jim Corbett in a finish or limited round contest, and the reply of Jim Corbett to Judge Newton's document, mailed several days ago, asking for his ultimatum as regards his agreement to meet Mitchell at the Coney Island Athletic Club.

McAuliffe's challenge to Corbett is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

Corbett's reply to the challenge is for \$10,000, which is not only a large sum, but is also a very large sum, and it is now agreed that he really should have been given the decision on that occasion.

</